

**International  
Comparative  
Legal Guides**



Practical cross-border insights into mergers and acquisitions

**Mergers & Acquisitions**  
**2022**

**16<sup>th</sup> Edition**

Contributing Editors:

**Lorenzo Corte & Scott C. Hopkins**  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

**ICLG.com**

## Expert Analysis Chapters

- 1** **2021's Most Interesting Developments in M&A**  
Adam O. Emmerich & Trevor S. Norwitz, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
- 7** **Key Drivers and Trends: Digitization, Decarbonization and SPACs**  
George F. Schoen & Jenny Hochenberg, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP

## Q&A Chapters

- 11** **Australia**  
Atanaskovic Hartnell: Lawson Jepps & Sanya Bhatnagar
- 18** **Austria**  
Schoenherr: Christian Herbst & Sascha Hödl
- 29** **Belgium**  
Van Olmen & Wynant: Luc Wynant & Jeroen Mues
- 36** **Brazil**  
Pinheiro Neto Advogados:  
Joamir Müller Romiti Alves, Carlos Elias Mercante, Cristina Liu & Camila Otani Nishi
- 43** **British Virgin Islands**  
Walkers: Matthew Cowman & Patrick Ormond
- 50** **Bulgaria**  
Schoenherr (in cooperation with Advokatsko druzhestvo Stoyanov & Tsekova): Ilko Stoyanov & Katerina Kaloyanova-Toshkova
- 60** **Canada**  
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP: Markus Viirland & Richard Turner
- 69** **Cayman Islands**  
Maples Group: Nick Evans, Suzanne Correy & Louise Cowley
- 76** **Croatia**  
Vukić & Partners: Iva Sunko & Ema Vukić
- 83** **Cyprus**  
E & G Economides LLC: Virginia Adamidou & George Economides
- 90** **Czech Republic**  
Wolf Theiss: Tereza Naučová & Michal Matouš
- 98** **Denmark**  
Bech-Bruun: Steen Jensen & David Moalem
- 105** **Finland**  
Dittmar & Indrenius: Anders Carlberg & Jan Ollila
- 112** **France**  
Fidal with contributions by Almain:  
Stéphanie de Robert Hautequère, Gacia Kazandjian, Sally-Anne Mc Mahon & Geoffrey Burrows
- 118** **Germany**  
Ebner Stolz: Dr Heiko Jander-McAlister, Dr Roderich Fischer, Dr Jörg R. Nickel & Dr Christoph Winkler
- 126** **Hong Kong**  
de Bedin & Lee LLP: Derek Chalmers
- 134** **Hungary**  
Oppenheim Law Firm: József Bulcsú Fenyvesi & Mihály Barcza
- 141** **India**  
Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co.:  
Raghubir Menon, Sakshi Mehra, Tanmayee Chaulkar & Rooha Khurshid
- 150** **Ireland**  
Philip Lee LLP: Inez Cullen & John Given
- 159** **Italy**  
NUNZIANTE MAGRONE: Fiorella Alvino & Fabio Liguori
- 166** **Japan**  
Nishimura & Asahi: Tomohiro Takagi & Keiichiro Yamanaka
- 175** **Luxembourg**  
GSK Stockmann: Marcus Peter & Kate Yu Rao
- 181** **Malaysia**  
Azmi & Associates: Norhisham Abd Bahrin & Syed Zomael Hussain
- 188** **Malta**  
DF Advocates: Dr. Maria Paloma Deguara & Celia Mifsud
- 196** **Montenegro**  
Moravčević Vojnović and Partners in cooperation with Schoenherr: Slaven Moravčević & Petar Vučinić
- 204** **Netherlands**  
Houthoff: Alexander J. Kaarls & Kasper P.W. van der Sanden
- 213** **Norway**  
Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma:  
Ole Kristian Aabø-Evensen
- 228** **Serbia**  
Moravčević Vojnović and Partners in cooperation with Schoenherr: Matija Vojnović & Vojimir Kurtić
- 236** **Singapore**  
Bird & Bird ATMD LLP: Marcus Chow & Xing Yi Tan
- 244** **Slovakia**  
URBAN STEINECKER GAŠPEREC BOŠANSKÝ:  
Marián Bošanský & Juraj Steinecker

## Q&A Chapters Continued

- 250** **Slovenia**  
Schoenherr: Vid Kobe & Bojan Brežan
- 261** **South Africa**  
Bowmans: Ezra Davids & Ryan Kitcat
- 269** **Spain**  
Roca Junyent SLP: Natalia Martí Picó & Xavier Costa Arnau
- 276** **Switzerland**  
Bär & Karrer Ltd.: Dr. Mariel Hoch
- 284** **Taiwan**  
Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: James Huang & Eddie Hsiung
- 291** **Turkey**  
Kolcuoğlu Demirkan Koçaklı Attorneys at Law: Bihter Bozbay İnan, Gözde Zorlu & İrem Cansu Demircioğlu
- 298** **United Kingdom**  
Weil, Gotshal & Manges (London) LLP: David Avery-Gee & Murray Cox
- 306** **USA**  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP: Ann Beth Stebbins & Thad Hartmann

# Luxembourg

GSK Stockmann



Marcus Peter



Kate Yu Rao

## 1 Relevant Authorities and Legislation

### 1.1 What regulates M&A?

The key legislation is the law of 10 August 1915 on commercial companies, as amended (the “**Corporate Law**”). In addition, numerous treaties on the avoidance of double taxation allow Luxembourg to support the worldwide M&A activities of Luxembourg private equity funds and M&A parties.

The law of 19 May 2006 implementing Directive 2004/25/EU on takeover bids, as amended (the “**Takeover Law**”), covers squeeze-out and sell-out rights, which assists the M&A of Luxembourg-based target companies. A natural or legal person acquiring, alone or with persons acting in concert with it, control over a company by holding 33.3% of the voting rights is required to make a mandatory takeover bid to all the shareholders in a Luxembourg company. As far as the competent authority is concerned, the Takeover Law states that if the target company’s securities are not admitted to trading on a regulated market in the Member State in which the company has its registered office, the competent authority to supervise the bid shall be the authority of the Member State responsible for the regulated market on which the company’s securities are admitted to trading. Matters relating to the consideration offered in the case of a bid, in particular the price, and matters relating to the bid procedure, in particular the information on the bidder’s decision to make a bid, the content of the offer documents and the disclosure of the bid, shall be governed by the law of the Member State responsible for the regulated market on which the company’s securities are admitted to trading. If a mandatory or voluntary offer is made to all the holders of securities carrying voting rights in a company that has listed its securities at a regulated market and if, after such offer, the offeror holds 95% of the securities carrying voting rights of the respective company and 95% of the voting rights, the offeror is entitled to squeeze out the minority shareholders, if any, according to the Takeover Law. Under the Takeover Law, when a mandatory or voluntary offer is made to all of the holders of securities carrying voting rights in a company and if, after such offer, the offeror holds more than 90% of the securities carrying voting rights and more than 90% of the voting rights, the minority shareholders may require the offeror to purchase the remaining securities of the same class.

Finally, the law of 21 July 2012 on mandatory squeeze-out and sell-out of securities of companies currently admitted or previously admitted to trading on a regulated market or having been offered to the public (the “**Luxembourg Squeeze-Out and Sell-Out Law**”) applies to the following scenarios: (i) if all or part of a company’s securities are currently admitted to trading on a regulated market

in one or more EU Member States; (ii) if all or part of a company’s securities are no longer traded, but were admitted to trading on a regulated market and the delisting became effective more than five years ago; or (iii) if all or part of a company’s securities were the subject of a public offer that triggered the obligation to publish a prospectus in accordance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading (the “**Prospectus Directive**”) or, if there is no obligation to publish according to the Prospectus Directive, where the offer started during the previous five years. The Luxembourg Squeeze-Out and Sell-Out Law does not apply during, and for a certain grace period after, a public takeover that is or has been carried out pursuant to the Takeover Law.

### 1.2 Are there different rules for different types of company?

The Corporate Law sets out the framework governing commercial companies and provides for all types of corporate structures and corporate instruments in order to create tailor-made corporate structures useable for M&A transactions; examples include requirements of corporate governance, as well as substantive and procedural requirements for M&A transactions and other transformative corporate transactions, such as conversions, divisions and liquidation. The special limited partnership (*société en commandite spéciale*) and the simplified private limited liability company (*société à responsabilité simplifiée*) were introduced as new forms of corporate structures in the past few years to further enhance corporate structuring via Luxembourg as a platform.

The Luxembourg Squeeze-Out and Sell-Out Law provides for the squeeze-out and sell-out of minority shareholders by a majority shareholder of a company that has its registered seat in Luxembourg.

### 1.3 Are there special rules for foreign buyers?

Until recently, no specific rules for foreign buyers apply for Luxembourg companies. However, in order to implement Regulation 2019/452/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 March 2019, a new bill of law (no. 7885) was adopted on 15 September 2021, introducing a screening mechanism with a mandatory notification and pre-approval requirement for certain foreign direct investments (“**FDIs**”) made by non-European investors in a Luxembourg entity operating in a critical sector in the territory of Luxembourg.

The bill will affect foreign investors that wish to invest in a Luxembourg entity conducting activities in the Luxembourg

territory that are regarded as critical in various sectors (e.g. energy, health, defence, finance, telecoms, data, media, etc.). The investors concerned will be required to inform the competent authorities of their intention to make investments in critical infrastructure and provide certain information prior to the proposed investment. The competent authorities will then perform a preliminary analysis, on a case-by-case basis, which may lead to a screening procedure to assess whether the investments are likely to affect security or public order, and a decision will be taken to either prohibit or allow the investment. The bill provides for specific enforcement measures and sanctions where a prior notification is not made or the screening decision is not respected.

#### 1.4 Are there any special sector-related rules?

Some rules for specific companies exist. For example, in case of a target whose registered office is in Luxembourg and securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market in Luxembourg, the offer will be supervised by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (the “CSSF”). Furthermore, if a target company carries a licence from the CSSF, the change in shareholding must be approved by the CSSF. Finally, it might be possible for the Luxembourg government to intervene in a contemplated acquisition, which involves certain Luxembourg entities that are doing business in highly sensitive governmental areas.

#### 1.5 What are the principal sources of liability?

The principal basis of liability is mentioned in the Luxembourg Civil Code and the Corporate Law. Depending on the action carried out, the managers or directors of an acting Luxembourg entity can be held liable for management faults or violations of applicable law and provisions of a company’s by-laws. Shareholder liability is typically excluded unless a shareholder acts in a way that leads a *bona fide* third party to think such shareholder is the manager of the Luxembourg entity in which case manager liability may also be triggered in the hands of such shareholder.

## 2 Mechanics of Acquisition

#### 2.1 What alternative means of acquisition are there?

Growth by way of strategic partnerships/alliances is an alternative means. If a company already has a mature service, it can grow its business by selling a franchise or licence to another company. The parties can also pool their resources by setting up a joint venture entity, which often occurs in Luxembourg. A joint venture entity is a business arrangement of international investors coming together from different regions of the world. By setting up such separate new joint venture entity, the parties may protect their main businesses in the case of failure of the joint venture investment. It is also common for a larger, private company to acquire a group of businesses where the shareholders of the group roll over into the new structure, set up by the private company, and remain in the business as the minority shareholders. By doing this, the old shareholders obtain, among others, financial support and can still manage the business in the new structure, as co-investors together with the private company.

#### 2.2 What advisers do the parties need?

Financial and legal advisers are the most important consultants to an M&A deal.

#### 2.3 How long does it take?

The duration of an M&A transaction can vary tremendously. Provided the M&A transaction volume is small and the target group does not operate internationally, the acquisition may occur in a few weeks if due diligence of the target group does not reveal major roadblocks. However, if the target group consists of many entities located in different parts of the world, the anti-trust procedure alone could take several months. In addition, the due diligence and negotiation of the share purchase agreement will be much more complex, hence bigger M&A deals might take more than one year. If the M&A transaction involves prior approval from the CSSF, as mentioned in question 1.4, additional time will need to be considered.

#### 2.4 What are the main hurdles?

Filing with and notification of merger control/anti-trust authorities, if required, creates the biggest obstacle. Another hurdle can sometimes be found in the due diligence process as it is not always ensured that all necessary documents will be provided swiftly and in a complete manner. Moreover, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the due diligence procedure has become more severe and onerous due to the need for deeper assessment of the financial conditions and the situation of target companies.

#### 2.5 How much flexibility is there over deal terms and price?

Deal terms and price depend on the due diligence and other factors. Whether flexibility is possible relates to such points but also to the market and target developments during the ongoing M&A transaction. Once the financial key parameters of the target have been identified and assessed, not much flexibility with a view to pricing and terms remains. However, the COVID-19 pandemic might provide slightly more flexibility for parties to renegotiate the purchase price of the target, which had been agreed during the pre-COVID-19 period, to ensure that it is still considered fair for the value exchanged; otherwise, either party or both parties may attempt to terminate the deal.

#### 2.6 What differences are there between offering cash and other consideration?

The main difference is that a cash payment normally bears no risk of value loss. Instead, a payment in kind (stock/receivables/notes/options/other) might lose value immediately after the M&A deal was closed due to market and other developments. Hence, we predominantly see cash purchase prices to be paid by the purchaser. In addition, cash payment as consideration is more practical in terms of post-closing purchase price adjustments. Tying a portion of the purchase price to the performance of the target company after closing, i.e. earn-out provisions, is also a way to give purchasers a degree of security and certainty. An agreement on the allocation of risk on earn-outs may be a suitable compromise in order to save certain deals and also diminish the impact of COVID-19.

#### 2.7 Do the same terms have to be offered to all shareholders?

The Corporate Law also recognises the principle of equal

treatment of shareholders. Hence, in a scenario of a merger or acquisition process, all shareholders have to be treated equally unless different treatment has been agreed on in a shareholders' agreement of the target company. This might often be the case especially in private equity-related M&A transactions.

#### 2.8 Are there obligations to purchase other classes of target securities?

In case the purchaser wants to own and fully control the target post-acquisition, it typically will acquire all securities that are necessary to exercise such control. We see often that, e.g., exiting bonds or shareholder loan instruments are also acquired by the purchaser next to the shares in issue. In addition, purchasing all other classes of target securities might be required by the seller as a condition to sell shares in the target company.

#### 2.9 Are there any limits on agreeing terms with employees?

The employee participation rights apply to: (i) a Luxembourg public limited liability company that has had at least 1,000 employees for the previous three years; and (ii) any company incorporated under the form of a Luxembourg public limited liability company of which the Luxembourg government holds a financial participation of 25% or more or that benefits from a "concession" from the Luxembourg government in relation to the exercise of its activity and is named by Grand-Ducal regulation.

#### 2.10 What role do employees, pension trustees and other stakeholders play?

Employee representatives might be involved in an M&A transaction for consultation purposes in the context of the stipulations mentioned in question 2.9 above.

#### 2.11 What documentation is needed?

Documentation volume depends on the nature of the acquisition (public or private). Documents can include, *inter alia*, announcement documents, offering documents, due diligence reports, share purchase agreements, co-investment agreements, tax-related documents, anti-trust filing documents and others.

#### 2.12 Are there any special disclosure requirements?

Disclosure requirements depend on the nature of the transaction and in particular the character of the target company/target group. If the shares or other securities of the target company are listed on a regulated market, different disclosing requirements will apply. Also, if the target company in Luxembourg holds a licence from the CSSF, such authority needs to be informed. In addition, if the target company carries out critical activities, a prior notification process and screening procedure with the competent authorities will need to be respected. Disclosing requirements should be duly assessed with advisors to the purchase in advance of starting the M&A transaction.

#### 2.13 What are the key costs?

Fees for advisors and incurred in relation to merger control/

anti-trust authorities, etc., are the key costs incurred in a transaction. Commercial terms decide which party bears such costs. In addition, breakage fees are also commonly seen. Such fees are incurred when a deal that has reached an advanced stage falls through and are usually composed of a deposit requested by the seller based on the total selling price.

#### 2.14 What consents are needed?

Consent from merger control authorities, if required, as well as consent from the management body of the target company, if it is a Luxembourg company, are needed. In addition, as mentioned in question 1.3, an approval from related competent authorities, mainly the Ministry of Economy of Luxembourg, is a prerequisite for making investments that are likely to affect national security or public order or for purchasing target companies that carry out critical activities.

#### 2.15 What levels of approval or acceptance are needed?

The management body of the target company (initially) approves the transaction, and subject to the by-laws of the target company, it sometimes subsequently goes to a shareholder vote if certain matters (such as if the transfer of the shares in the target company is more than a certain percentage) are stipulated in the by-laws of the target company and reserved for shareholders, which will then trigger approval from the general meeting of shareholders to be adopted by, e.g., a majority or a supermajority of votes cast.

#### 2.16 When does cash consideration need to be committed and available?

Typically, the cash consideration is put into escrow shortly before the closing date. Once, on the closing date, the fulfilment of all conditions precedent under the share purchase agreement are confirmed by both parties, the cash consideration is released from the escrow account to the seller. The purchase price can be subject to adjustments post-closing.

### 3 Friendly or Hostile

#### 3.1 Is there a choice?

The Corporate Law provides that transfer of corporate shares or units shall not be valid *vis-à-vis* the target company or third parties until they have been notified of the management of the target company or accepted by it in accordance with the provisions of article 1690 of the Luxembourg Civil Code. In a hostile takeover, the management of the target company rejects the takeover, which, in principle, prevents the merger. However, the buyer can employ strategies, such as persuading shareholders of the target company to vote out certain managers or directors, who are against the takeover, at the general meeting of shareholders and reinstall a new board that is more receptive to a takeover by the acquiring company.

#### 3.2 Are there rules about an approach to the target?

To our knowledge, there are none.

### 3.3 How relevant is the target board?

See the answer to question 3.1.

### 3.4 Does the choice affect process?

Certain choices should be made before starting the M&A transaction. Initially, it should be considered whether to fully or partly acquire the target. Furthermore, it should be decided whether to approach a certain shareholder or all shareholders of the target company or rather the board of directors of such target company. If it is a public takeover, normally the purchaser first contacts the board of directors of the public target company before making its offer to assess whether or not a friendly takeover is possible.

## 4 Information

### 4.1 What information is available to a buyer?

Certain information, such as the corporate name, registered office, incorporation or formation date and board of managers, is available, as the case may be, on the website of the Luxembourg Trade and Companies Register (*Recueil Electronique des Sociétés et Associations*). Furthermore, the buyer can gather information about the target company by carrying out due diligence. As for the legal due diligence, normally the buyer's lawyer sends a detailed information request to the seller to request the constitution of the target, as well as the information on its property and employees, its existing contracts and licences, etc.

### 4.2 Is negotiation confidential and is access restricted?

Typically, yes.

### 4.3 When is an announcement required and what will become public?

An announcement is required for a public takeover bid in Luxembourg, as described above, when a certain threshold of shareholding is achieved by the purchaser. Furthermore, certain rules also require ongoing or even earlier notifications to supervising authorities.

### 4.4 What if the information is wrong or changes?

The seller is normally required to give a list of warranties, which are assurances about the target company covering all aspects of its information. If the information the seller gives to the buyer as a warranty is wrong or changes, the seller may be liable to pay damages to the buyer under a claim of a breach of warranty.

## 5 Stakebuilding

### 5.1 Can shares be bought outside the offer process?

Yes, subject to certain requirements that such purchases are not trying to circumvent provisions that require transparency of the process.

### 5.2 Can derivatives be bought outside the offer process?

See the answer to question 5.1.

### 5.3 What are the disclosure triggers for shares and derivatives stakebuilding before the offer and during the offer period?

See the answer to question 5.1.

### 5.4 What are the limitations and consequences?

See the answer to question 5.1.

## 6 Deal Protection

### 6.1 Are break fees available?

Current market practice sees break fees regularly negotiated at the beginning of a transaction. Luxembourg service providers regularly accept that a breakup fee can include a certain discount given the economic downside of an unsuccessful bid.

### 6.2 Can the target agree not to shop the company or its assets?

Yes, the target can agree not to seek alternative offer proposals in competition with a proposal recommended by the bidder; however, this is seen more where the parties have entered into exclusive negotiations.

### 6.3 Can the target agree to issue shares or sell assets?

Generally speaking, the management body of the target company shall act neutrally and in the best corporate interest of the target company. However, it is possible for the target to take steps by issuing shares, disposing of the target firm's most prized and valuable assets to make it less desirable to the acquirer company.

### 6.4 What commitments are available to tie up a deal?

The management body of the target company, who is familiar with the company's business, can advise shareholders to vote in favour of a preferred bidder; however, advice should be prudently identified to ensure that the deal will not create unexpected disadvantages for the target company.

## 7 Bidder Protection

### 7.1 What deal conditions are permitted and is their invocation restricted?

Deal conditions are subject to the specific transaction at stake. All conditions, however, have to comply with applicable law, which should be identified duly in advance of starting the deal.

### 7.2 What control does the bidder have over the target during the process?

The bidder normally does not have control over the target company during the process, unless a certain level of shareholding is reached.

### 7.3 When does control pass to the bidder?

When ownership of the target being acquired is transferred to the bidder, the bidder has all/certain control of the target by way of changing the composition of the management, depending on the agreed terms and level of shareholding achieved.

### 7.4 How can the bidder get 100% control?

In case not all the shareholders of the target company are willing to sell for the offered price, the bidder must try to achieve the threshold of 95% to start a squeeze-out process. This, however, is only possible in public companies. No squeeze-out process exists in Luxembourg for private companies.

## 8 Target Defences

### 8.1 What can the target do to resist change of control?

As mentioned before, Luxembourg laws provide that the transfer of shares in the target company needs to either be notified to the management of the target company or be accepted by it. In case the board of the target company does not deem the offer to be in the best interest of the Luxembourg target company, it may resist such offer. However, the bidder may still make its offer public, which then becomes a hostile takeover. In such case, not many elements for resistance exist apart from finding another interested party that wants to acquire the target company. Other resistance might be created by the board of the target in taking a stance against the offer due to respective economic or other arguments; and, of course, the shareholders of the target company may resist in not rendering their shares for sale to the bidder, giving different reasons.

### 8.2 Is it a fair fight?

Fairness is certainly desired. Sometimes market players might have a different negotiating power, although this does not necessarily lead to unfairness.

## 9 Other Useful Facts

### 9.1 What are the major influences on the success of an acquisition?

Major influences are a well-experienced and prepared advisory team, a prudent and thorough management team at the acquisition party, as well as financial resources to be able to follow through the acquisition process, even if it takes longer than expected.

### 9.2 What happens if it fails?

The failure of an acquisition seems to have mostly negative consequences. The reputation of the purchaser, the target company

and/or seller(s) might take a hit in the market. Furthermore, certain business strategies depending on the successful acquisition may have to be abandoned. This can create severe turbulence for the purchaser who failed to acquire. Finally, costs incurred, depending on the stage of the deal, might be substantial. These have to be borne by the respective parties despite the broken deal (taking into consideration any discount granted by an acting advisor).

## 10 Updates

### 10.1 Please provide a summary of any relevant new law or practices in M&A in your jurisdiction.

The Luxembourg government has always been open-minded towards international business activities and international investors. The Corporate Law was amended in 2016 to enhance corporate structuring. It now provides for better structuring of share classes and characteristics (voting or non-voting shares and shortening of the period for exercising preferential subscription). New corporate forms were also created as well as more flexible provisions pertaining to the issue of bonds and the holding of general meetings of shareholders. In addition, investment fund forms were created (e.g., the unregulated reserved alternative investment fund or “**RAIF**” in 2016), which allows the swift set-up of private equity regimes and other alternative funds to allow time for market investment activity. Specialised investment funds (“**SIFs**”) have also grown in number recently because the approval process for an SIF by the CSSF was accelerated.

With regard to know your customer (“**KYC**”) and anti-money laundering (“**AML**”) regulatory provisions, the law dated 13 January 2019 (the “**RBO Law**”) has introduced a register of beneficial owners for legal entities registered in the Luxembourg Trade and Companies Register. Additionally, the law dated 10 July 2020 has established a register of fiducies and trusts (the “**RTF Law**”), introducing a series of measures increasing the transparency of the beneficial ownership of trusts, fiducies (i.e. fiduciary arrangements) and similar legal arrangements. These laws aim to increase the level of transparency in relation to beneficial ownership.

As for tax planning, on 21 March 2020, the Luxembourg parliament passed a law implementing Council Directive 2018/822/EU of 25 May 2018, amending Directive 2011/16/EU, regarding the mandatory automatic exchange of information in the field of taxation in relation to reportable cross-border arrangements. This is expected to have an impact on cross-border M&A structuring.

Furthermore, given the importance of the investment fund industry in M&A transactions, the entry into force of Regulation 2019/2088/EU of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosure in the financial services sector and of Regulation 2020/852 of June 2020 on the establishment of a framework to facilitate sustainable investment, may indirectly impact the M&A market. The implementation of effective environmental, social and governance (“**ESG**”) policies and strategies by target companies may influence the attractiveness of investee companies, and will enhance due diligence procedures to ensure that the companies comply with ESG standards and disclosure requirements.

Finally, an FDI screening mechanism introduced by bill no. 7885, which was adopted on 15 September 2021 (as mentioned in question 1.3), will also have an impact on M&A transactions by influencing foreign investors in the sectors on which their investments focus.





**Marcus Peter** is a lawyer admitted to practise in Luxembourg and Germany. He studied in Germany and Russia, earning a Master's and a Ph.D. in law. He specialises in Investment Funds, Private Equity & Venture Capital, as well as Corporate and M&A Law. He worked in a leading independent law firm from 2004 to 2016 (the last four years as partner) and, in 2016 opened the Luxembourg office of GSK Stockmann, a German law firm. He regularly speaks at investment fund events and is also a member of the Cross-Border Business Lawyers Network.

**GSK Stockmann**  
44, Avenue John F. Kennedy  
L-1855 Luxembourg

Tel: +352 271 802 50  
Email: [marcus.peter@gsk-lux.com](mailto:marcus.peter@gsk-lux.com)  
URL: [www.gsk-lux.com](http://www.gsk-lux.com)



**Kate Yu Rao** is a senior associate at GSK Stockmann in Luxembourg focusing on Investment Funds, Private Equity, Corporate and M&A. Kate passed the Chinese Bar exam in 2013 and has held a qualification as a fund manager (accredited by the Securities Association of China) since 2011. She studied at the East China University of Political Science and Law, at the Erasmus University Rotterdam and at the University of Bologna. Prior to joining GSK Stockmann, Kate worked for a leading independent Luxembourg law firm. She speaks Chinese and English.

**GSK Stockmann**  
44, Avenue John F. Kennedy  
L-1855 Luxembourg

Tel: +352 271 802 54  
Email: [kate.rao@gsk-lux.com](mailto:kate.rao@gsk-lux.com)  
URL: [www.gsk-lux.com](http://www.gsk-lux.com)

GSK Stockmann is a leading independent European corporate law firm with over 200 professionals across our offices in Germany and Luxembourg.

GSK Stockmann is the law firm of choice for Real Estate and Financial Services. In addition, we have deep-rooted expertise in key sectors including Funds, Capital Markets, Public, Mobility, Energy and Healthcare. For international transactions and projects, we work together with selected reputable law firms abroad. Our advice combines an economic focus with entrepreneurial foresight. That is what is behind: Your perspective.

In Luxembourg, GSK Stockmann is the trusted adviser of leading financial institutions, asset managers, private equity houses, insurance companies, corporates and FinTech companies, with both a local and international reach. Our lawyers advise domestic and international clients in relation to Banking & Finance, Capital Markets, Corporate/M&A and Private Equity, Investment Funds, Real Estate, Regulatory and Insurance, as well as Tax.

[www.gsk-lux.com](http://www.gsk-lux.com)

**GSK**  
STOCKMANN

# ICLG.com



## Current titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds  
Anti-Money Laundering  
Aviation Finance & Leasing  
Aviation Law  
Business Crime  
Cartels & Leniency  
Class & Group Actions  
Competition Litigation  
Construction & Engineering Law  
Consumer Protection  
Copyright  
Corporate Governance  
Corporate Immigration  
Corporate Investigations  
Corporate Tax  
Cybersecurity  
Data Protection  
Derivatives  
Designs  
Digital Business  
Digital Health  
Drug & Medical Device Litigation  
Employment & Labour Law  
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments  
Environment & Climate Change Law  
Environmental, Social & Governance Law  
Family Law  
Fintech  
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes  
Franchise  
Gambling  
Insurance & Reinsurance  
International Arbitration  
Investor-State Arbitration  
Lending & Secured Finance  
Litigation & Dispute Resolution  
Merger Control  
Mergers & Acquisitions  
Mining Law  
Oil & Gas Regulation  
Patents  
Pharmaceutical Advertising  
Private Client  
Private Equity  
Product Liability  
Project Finance  
Public Investment Funds  
Public Procurement  
Real Estate  
Renewable Energy  
Restructuring & Insolvency  
Sanctions  
Securitisation  
Shipping Law  
Technology Sourcing  
Telecoms, Media & Internet  
Trade Marks  
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms